



Fri 6/10/2016 3:37 PM

drupal_admin <drupal_admin@epa.gov>

Harbor Comments

To ☐ Zhen, Davis

We removed extra line breaks from this message.

Submitted on 06/10/2016 5:36PM

Submitted values are:

Your Name: (b) (6)

Your Email: (b) (6)

Your Comments:

This is a let the chemicals stay in the mud plan. Or, let some of the chemicals stay in the river plan, and let others stay in the river in their new home plan. It is, unacceptable. If the risks haven't fallen in the previous 100 years- why would they fall in the next 30.

This is bogus science as influenced by businesses. The plan is unacceptably 'light ' on cleanup. EPA needs to show some guts.